





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/148,832		09/04/1998	HIROTOSHI MAEGAWA	P3203-8003	4033	
4372	7590	03/06/2003				
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN				EXAMINER		
SUITE 400	IECTICU'	Γ AVENUE, N.W.	HAYES, JOHN W			
WASHING	TON, DC	20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3621		

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	:	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\wedge			
	Advisory Action	09/148,832	MAEGAWA ET AL.	12			
	Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
		John W Hayes	3621	\bigvee			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
	THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the			
	(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S .			
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly			
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-52</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
	8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examir	ner.			
	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 -				
	10. Other:		John W Hayes Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3621	yes			
Ĺ	J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		/ Onit. 3021				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments to the claims do not place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant contends that the data processing in Ginter is performed after contents or data have been distributed and boundary determined through "rules and controls". After further consideration of the reference to Ginter, examiner respectfully disagrees and notes that Ginter discloses that rights and control information can determine how and/or to whom electronic content can be provided or distributed, how objects and/or properties can be directly used, how payment for usage of such content may be handled and how to audit information about usage information (Col. 46, lines 15-28). Ginter discloses that the rules and controls can be distributed along with the content (Col. 55, lines 1-11) suggesting that the rules and controls must be established prior to processing or use of the content by the end user so that the use of the content can be controlled in accordance with the rules and controls. Ginter discloses that the content creator creates the content and also specifies the "rules and controls" for specifying who has permission to distribute or use the content (Col. 56, lines 6-12) further suggesting that the "rules and controls" are established prior to supplying or using the content. Therefore, the amendment will not be entered since it is not considered to place the application in condition for allowance.